# Wittmeyer Special Exception-Unit Legalization PLNPCM2013-00682 <br> 465 E. Third Avenue <br> Hearing date: October 23, 2013 



Planning Division Department of Community \& Economic Development

Applicant:
Jacqueline Wittmeyer
Staff:
Janice Lew 535-7625
janice.lew@slcgov.com
Tax ID:
09-31-440-014
Current Zone:
SR-1A (Special Development Pattern Residential)

## Master Plan Designation:

Avenues Master Plan:
Low Density Residential

## Council District:

District 3 - Stan Penfold
Community Council:
Avenues Community Council
Gwen Springmeyer (Chair)
Lot size: 7,405 sf

## Current Use:

Single Family Residential
Applicable Land Use Regulations:

- 21A.24.080 SR-1 \& SR-1A
- 21A. 52 Special Exceptions (Unit Legalization)

Attachments:
A. Site Plan \& Application Materials
B. BoA Findings and Order
C. Public Input
D. Photographs

## Request

Jacqueline Wittmeyer is requesting special exception approval to legalize a second dwelling unit located in the detached garage associated with the single family dwelling at 465 E . Third Avenue. The Planning Commission has final decision making authority for special exceptions.

## Recommendation

Based on the findings listed in the staff report, it is the Planning Staff's opinion that the application generally meets the applicable standards for a special exception-unit legalization and therefore recommends the Planning Commission approve the application as proposed.

## Recommended Motion

Approval: Based on the findings listed in the staff report, testimony and plans presented, I move that the Planning Commission approve the special exception for a unit legalization at 465 E . Third Avenue subject to the following conditions:

## Conditions of Approval

1. The unit owner shall apply for participation in the city's landlord tenant program within ninety (90) days of special exception approval.
2. The unit owner shall allow the city's building official or designee to inspect the dwelling unit to determine whether the unit substantially complies with basic life safety requirements as provided in Section title 18. Chapter 18.50 (Existing Residential Housing) of this code. Such inspection shall occur within one hundred eighty (180) days of special exception approval or as mutually agreed by the unit owner and the city. After such inspection, the unit owner shall make necessary corrections within one hundred eighty days (180) or as mutually agreed by the unit owner and the city.

## VICINITTY MAP - 465 E. Third Avenue



## Background

## Project Description

The applicant seeks legalization of a second residential unit within the garage associated with the existing single family dwelling at the subject property. The City Council recently adopted new criteria to legalize additional dwelling units and the applicant seeks to qualify under those new provisions. The applicant provided documentation and affidavits to demonstrate the history of the second unit; however, during an administrative review, planning staff found a November 1980 Board of Adjustment case approval to construct a two story detached garage behind the house that would exceed the height requirements of the zoning ordinance. At that time, a detached accessory building was only allowed to be one story. The Findings \& Order of the decision (Attachment B) indicate the additional height would merely accommodate a steeper roof pitch, the upper level was to only be used for storage, and the building would have no windows so it could not be used for living quarters. The following conditions were placed on the approval:

1. the roof ties be lowered in the attic so it cannot be used as two stories,
2. there be a pull-down stairway rather than a permanent one,
3. there be no special plumbing or wiring, and
4. there never be any commercial use.

The applicant is the current property owner and purchased the property with the accessory dwelling unit in November of 1994. The applicant provided two affidavits, one from a former neighboring property owner and one from a personal friend that viewed the property prior to the applicant's ownership. The affidavits verify the existence of the second dwelling unit prior to 1995. The applicant's submittal also indicates that the separate dwelling unit was initially unoccupied and has been rented since January 2003. There are no building permits of record for the interior improvements to the upper level of the accessory building.

This application is being presented to the Planning Commission due to the restrictions placed on the original Board of Adjustment request to construct an over height detached garage. Based on the City Council's current objective to legalize excess dwelling units and retain the City's existing housing stock, the Planning Commission may consider overriding the conditions of the Board approval and legalize the second dwelling unit. The findings of staff as they pertain to the new standards to legalize additional dwelling units are discussed later in this report under the "analysis and findings" section. Planning staff is of the opinion that the application generally meets the applicable standards of the zoning ordinance.

## Public Notice, Meetings, Comments

Notice of the public hearing for the proposal included:

- Public hearing notice mailed on October 10, 2013
- Public hearing notice posted on property on October 10, 2013
- Public notice posted on City and State websites October 10, 2013


## Public Comments

Notice of the meeting was sent to adjacent property owners and tenants, Community Council chairs, and other groups and individuals whose names are on the Planning Division's List serve. Notice was also posted on the property and City and State websites. Staff received an email attached as Attachment C from a neighbor opposed to adding rental units in the area because of "poor results" in the past.

## Analysis and Findings

The standards of review for a special exception are set forth in Section 21A.52.060 of the Salt Lake City Zoning Ordinance. The standards are as follows:

## A. Compliance with Zoning Ordinance and District Purposes: The proposed use and development will be in harmony with the general and specific purposes for which this title was enacted and for which the regulations of the district were established.

Analysis: The subject property is located in the SR-1A zoning district, which is intended to maintain the unique character of older predominantly single-family and two-family dwelling neighborhoods that display a variety of yards, lot sizes and bulk characteristics. Uses are intended to be compatible with the existing scale and intensity of the neighborhood. Two-family dwellings are a permitted use in the SR1 A district subject to an 8,000 square-foot lot requirement and sufficient on-site parking. In this case, with a size of 7,235 square feet, the subject property does not comply with the lot size requirement and
the site does not accommodate the required parking. In addition, the property to the south of the subject property is zoned for multi-family purposes.

Although a two-family use on the subject property is noncompliant for size and parking and thus to some extent contrary to the SR-1A district purposes, the current practice for legalizing excess dwelling units is intended to implement the existing Salt Lake City community housing plan as well. This plan emphasizes maintaining existing housing stock in a safe manner that contributes to the vitality and sustainability of neighborhoods within the city. Staff is of the opinion that as long as the property owner complies with the standard conditions of approval to legalize the accessory dwelling unit, the second unit would generally meet the intent of the applicable general and specific purposes of the zoning ordinance.
B. No Substantial Impairment of Property Value: The proposed use and development will not substantially diminish or impair the value of the property within the neighborhood in which it is located.

Analysis: No evidence has been presented to the City that approval of an excess dwelling unit will substantially diminish or impair the value of the property within the neighborhood in which it is located. The owner has used the building for living quarters for approximately 10 years, and no indication has been given that property values have been diminished because of it. The property value of the subject property may have increased as a result of the improvements.
C. No Undue Adverse Impact: The proposed use and development will not have a material adverse effect upon the character of the area or the public health, safety and general welfare; and

Analysis: Whereas the proposed use is residential in nature, staff is of the opinion that the accessory dwelling unit will not have a material adverse effect upon the character of the area. With regard to public health, safety, and general welfare, the proposed project will be regulated and inspected by the City through the legalization process to ensure protection of the public health, safety, and general welfare.
D. Compatible with Surrounding Development: The proposed special exception will be constructed, arranged and operated so as to be compatible with the use and development of neighboring property in accordance with the applicable district regulations.

Analysis: The existing garage serves as an ancillary function to the residential use of the property and is consistent with the purpose statement of the SR-1A Zoning District. Located in the Avenues Historic District, the design of the building was approved by the Historic Landmark Committee in 1980. Staff is of the opinion that as long as the applicant obtains the appropriate City approvals and permits, the accessory dwelling unit would be compatible with the scale and intensity of development of neighboring property.
E. No Destruction of Significant Features: The proposed use and development will not result in the destruction, loss or damage of natural, scenic or historic features of significant importance.

Analysis: No natural features of significant importance are known to be on or adjacent to this property. Although located in the Avenues Historic District, no historic features will be destroyed, lost or damaged as a result of the use.
F. No Material Pollution of Environment: The proposed use and development will not cause material air, water, soil or noise pollution or other types of pollution.

Analysis: The requested legalization will not result in any air, water, soil or noise pollution.

## G. Compliance with Standards: The proposed use and development complies with all additional standards imposed on it pursuant to this chapter.

Certain Special Exceptions have specific standards and conditions that apply. Ordinance
21A.52.030.A.22.b applies to all unit legalizations. Those standards and conditions are as follows:

1. The dwelling unit existed prior to April 12, 1995. In order to determine whether a dwelling unit was in existence prior to April 12, 1995, the unit owner shall provide documentation thereof which may include any of the following:
(A) Copies of lease or rental agreements, lease or rent payments, or other similar documentation showing a transaction between the unit owner and tenants;
(B) Evidence indicating that prior to April 12, 1995, the city issued a building permit, business license, zoning certificate, or other permit relating to the dwelling unit in question;
(C) Utility records indicating existence of a dwelling unit;
(D) Historic surveys recognized by the planning director as being performed by a trained professional in historic preservation;
(E) Notarized affidavits from a past tenant, neighbor, previous owner, or other individual who has knowledge about the dwelling unit;
(F) Polk, Cole, or phone directories that indicate existence of the dwelling unit (but not necessarily that the unit was occupied); and
(G) Any other documentation that indicates the existence of the dwelling unit that the owner is willing to place into a public record.

Analysis: The original building permit for the existing accessory building was issued in October of 1980. The application materials provided by the applicant include affidavits claiming that the accessory dwelling unit existed prior to 1994 and therefore meets this standard.
2. The dwelling unit has been maintained as a separate dwelling unit since April 12, 1995. In order to determine if a unit has been maintained as a separate dwelling unit, the following may be considered:
(A) Evidence listed in standard $b(1)$ indicates that the unit has been occupied at least once every five (5) calendar years;
(B) Evidence that the unit was marketed for occupancy if the unit was unoccupied for more than five (5) consecutive years;
(C) If evidence of maintaining a separate dwelling unit as required by Subsections (A) and (B) cannot be established, documentation of construction upgrades may be provided in lieu thereof.
(D) Evidence that the unit was referenced as a separate dwelling unit at least once every five (5) years.

Analysis: The materials provided by the applicant claim the accessory unit has been maintained as such since at least April 12, 1995. Although unoccupied, there is no evidence indicating the accessory dwelling unit was destroyed prior to it being leased in 2003 as documented by the property owner's tax documents.
3. The property where the dwelling unit is located:
(A) Can accommodate on-site parking as required by this title, or
(B) Is located within one-quarter ( $1 / 4$ ) mile radius of a fixed rail transit stop or bus stop in service at the time of legalization.

Analysis: The site currently would not comply with the parking requirement for a two-family dwelling. The property location does meet the second option of criterion 3(B) because it is located within one-quarter ( $1 / 4$ ) mile of an active bus stop. The subject property fronts on Bus Route 3 that runs along Third Avenue.
4. There is no history of zoning violations occurring on the property. To determine if there is a history of zoning violations, the city shall only consider violations documented by official city records for which the current unit owner is responsible.

Analysis: Staff reviewed the City's records for zoning violations on this property and found no history of violations by the current owner.

Summary Findings: The proposed project meets the minimum requirements of this residential zoning district with the exception of sufficient lot size and parking space. The zoning ordinance, in Section 21A. 52 allows the Planning Commission the ability to grant special exceptions to legalize excess dwelling units. The proposed special exception is appropriate in the location proposed based upon compliance with the requirements and standards addressed above.

## Commission Options

If the second unit is approved, the applicant can continue with the process for unit legalization, including compliance with a life-safety inspection, and then use the upper level of the garage as an accessory dwelling unit.

If the second unit is denied, the owner could only use the existing accessory building for other uses permitted by the SR-1A zoning district. In addition, denial of the second unit will require the removal of it by the City.

## Potential Motions

The motion recommended by the Planning Division to approve this request is located on the cover page of this staff report. The recommendation is based on the above analysis. Below is a potential motion that may be used in cases where the Planning Commission determines that the application does not meet the special exceptionunit legalization standards and should be denied.

## Not Consistent with Staff Recommendation:

Based on the testimony, evidence presented and the following findings, I move that the Planning Commission deny special exception petition PLNPCM2013-00682 for legalization of an excess dwelling unit at 465 E. Third Avenue. The special exception does not comply with the following applicable standards:
A. The proposal will be in compliance with ordinance and district purposes;
B. No Substantial Impairment of Property Value
C. The proposal will not have a material adverse effect upon the character of the area or the public health safety and general welfare;
D. The proposal will be compatible with development of surrounding property;
E. The proposed use and development will not result in the destruction, loss or damage of natural, scenic or historic features of significant importance.
F. The proposed use and development will not cause material air, water, soil or noise pollution or other types of pollution.
G. Other specific standards for unit legalizations:

1. The dwelling unit existed prior to April 12, 1995.
2. The dwelling unit has been maintained as a separate dwelling unit since April 12, 1995.
3. Whether the property can accommodate on-site parking or is located within a one-quarter (1/4) mile radius of a fixed transit stop.
4. There is not history of zoning violations occurring on the property.

## Attachment A

Site Plan \& Application Materials

$$
465 \text { E } 3 \text { rd }
$$
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To Whom It May Concern at the Salt Lake Gity Council:
I am requesting approval for legalization of an excess dwelling unit consisting of a studio apartment located above the garage at 465 Third Avenue, Sal Lake City, Utah 84103. This studio apartment existed before April 1995 as it was there when we purchased the property in November 1994. It has been maintained as a separate dwelling unit since November 1994, initially unoccupied (by us or anyone else) and then in January 2003 we started to rent it to others and have been doing so ever since.

I have included two notarized letters from others substantiating these statements. One is from my very dear friend Nola Sundquist, who viewed the property, including the studio apartment, before we purchased it in November 1994; and I have kept her informed of rental activities since then. The other is from Greg Wacker who was the reathor from Plumb and Co who sold us the house and he also had been one of the previous owners of the property in a decade prior to our purchase. Aso, I have included Schedule E from our Federal Tax Returns 2003-2013.

The apartment is a lovely dwelling for one person. Whenever it is available for rent, we have much interest in it, and it tends to rent quickly as we keep the rent relatively low and it is a nice, quiet, well-locared space. I often rent to students at the University of Uiah. I manage the property and have always been fair with returning the security deposit less any deduction for clearing, and only if necessary.

Sincerely.


To Whom It May Concern:
Subject: Studio Apartment, $4653^{\text {rd }}$ Ave., Salt Lake City, Utah
I lived next door to said property (at $4753^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue) when the studio apartment was constructed between 1980-1981. It was a dwelling unit above a garage with a stairway, bathroom and kitchen. A few years after it was constructed, I moved a block away and to the best of my knowledge this studio apartment continued as a dwelling unit; at least until 2004 when I moved from Salt Lake City.


STATE OF TEXAS
ACKNOWLEDGMENT
This instrument was acknowledged before me, the undersigned notary public. on August 3, 2013.


JURAT
Suborn to amd Subscribed by Gregory pi wacker before me the undersigned notary public on August 3, 2013.

To Whom It May Concern at Salt Lake City Council:

I, Nola Sundquist, can verify that the studio apartment above the garage at 465 Third Avenue existed before April 1995 as I toured the property before Jacqueline Wittmeyer and Christopher Hill purchased it in November of 1994. Jacqui and Chris wanted to get my opinion of the property before they purchased it and I viewed the separate studio apartment above the garage in addition to the main house.

It has been maintained as a separate dwelling unit since November 1994. Initially, the studio apartment was not rented, but it was still maintained separately just unoccupied. Jacqui and Chris started renting the apartment in 2002, and have been doing so since and are currently renting it. Jacqui and Chris are good friends of mine and Jacqui keeps me informed of her rental activities.
nora D. Numb
Nola Sundquist


LESLiE HAM
Notary Public State of Utah Wy Commission Expires on: Serpambse 26, 2016 Comm. lumber: 639417
date $8123 / 2013$


Supplemental licome and loss


Attachment
Sequence No. 13

income:
3 Rents re
4 Royalties
Expenses:

5 Advertising
Auto and travel (see page E-4)
7 Cleaning and maintenance.
8 Commissions
9 Insurance
10 Legal and other professional fees
11 Management fees.
12 Mortgage interest paid to banks, etc. (see page E-4)
13 Other interest
14 Repairs
15 Supplies
16 Taxes.
17 Utilities
18 Other (list)
$\qquad$

19 Add lines 5 through 18
20 Depreciation expense or depletion (see page E-4).
21 Total expenses. Add lines 19 and 20
22 Income or (loss) from rental real estate or royalty properties. Subtract line 21 from line 3 (rents) or line 4 (royalties). If the result is a (loss), see page E-4 to find out if you must file Form 6198.
23 Deductible rental real estate loss. Caution. Your rental real estate loss on line 22 may be limited. See page E-4 to find out if you must file Form 8582. Real estate professionals must complete line 43 on page 2
24 Income. Add positive amounts shown on line 22. Do not ̂ include any losses.
25 Losses. Add royalty losses from line 22 and rental real estate losses from line 23 . Enter total losses here
26 Total rental real estate and royalty income or (loss). Combine lines 24 and 25. Enter the result here. If Paris II, III, IV, and line 40 on page 2 do not apply to you, also enter this amount on Form 1040. line 17. Otherwise, include this amount in the total on line 41 on page?

Schedule C or C-EZ (see page.E-3). Report faim rental income or loss from Form 4835 on page 2 , line 40.


| $B$ |
| :--- |
| 6 |

For each rental real estate property listed on line 1 , did you or your family use it during the tax year for personal purposes for more than the greater of: - 14 days or

- $10 \%$ of the total days rented at fair rental value? (See page E-3.)
Income:


SCHEDULE E (Form 1040)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental lncorne and Loss
(From rental real estate, royalties, partnerships, S corporations, estates, trusts, REMiSs, etc.)

## Es bu Income or Loss From Rental Real Estate and Royalties Note. If you are in the business of renting personal property, use

 Schedule C or C-EZ (see page E-3). Report farm rental income or loss from Form 4835 on page 2, line 40.

2 For each rental real estate property listed on line 1, did you or your family use it during the tax year for personal purposes for more than the greater of:

- 14 days or
- $10 \%$ of the total days rented at fair rental value? (See page E-3.)


19 Add lines 5 through 18
20 Depreciation expense or depletion (see page E-4).
21 Total expenses. Add lines 19 and 20
22 Income or (loss) from rental real estate or royalty properties. Subtract line 21 from line 3 (rents) or line 4 (royalties). If the result is a (loss), see page E-5 to find out if you must file Form 6198
23 Deductible rental real estate loss. Caution. Your rental real estate loss on line 22 may be limited. See page E-5 to find out if you must file Form 8582. Real estate professionals must complete line 43 on page 2
on on line 22. Do not include any losses
24 Income. Add positive amounts shown on line 22. Do not include any losses
25 Losses. Add royalty losses from line 22 and rental real estate losses from line 23. Enter total losses here
26 Total rental real estate and royalist income or (loss). Combine lines 24 and 25 . Enter the result here. If Parts II, III, IV, and line 40 on page 2 do not apply to you, also enter this amount on Form 1040, line 17. Otherwise, include this amount in the total on line 41 on page 2


## Supplemental heome and Loss

(From rental real estate, royalties, partnerships,
Departmeni of the Treasur
Internal Revenue Service S corporations, estates, trusts, REMilCs, etc.) Sequence No. 13



Schedule Cor C-EZ (see page E-3). Report farm rental income or Note. If you are in the business of renting personal property, use

| 7 | List the type and location of each rental meal farm rental income or lo |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | Contheut 4bs Thin $u$ real estate properiy: |
| B |  |
| 6 |  |

2 For each rental real estate property
listed on line 1, did you or your family
use it during the tax year for personal
purposes for more than the greater of:
o 14 days or
\& $10 \%$ of the total days rented at
fair rental value?
(See page E-3.)

## Income:

3 Rents received.
4
Royalties received

## Expenses:

5 Advertising
6 Auto and travel (see page E-4).
7 Cleaning and maintenance.
8 Commissions
9 Insurance
) Legal and other professional fees Management fees.
Mortgage interest paid to banks, etc. (see page E-4)
Other interest
Repairs
Supplies
16 Taxes
17 Utilities
18 Other (list)

19 Add lines 5 through 18
20 Depreciation expense or depletion (see page E-4)
21 Total expenses. Add lines 19 and 20
22 Income or (loss) from rental real estate or royalty properties. Subtract line 21 from line 3 (rents) or line 4 (royalties). If the result is a (loss), see page E-5 to find out if you must file form 6198.
23 Deductible rental real estate loss. Caution. Your rental real estate loss on line 22 may be limited. See page E-5 to find out if you must file Form 8582. Real estate professionals must complete line 43 on page 2
24 Income. Add positive amounts shown on line 22. Do not include any losses
25 Losses. Add royalty losses from line 22 and rental
26 Total rental real estate and royaliy income or If Parts II, III, IV, and line 40 on page 2 do or (loss). Combine ines 24 and 25 . Enter the result here. line 17, or Form 1040NP, line 18. Otherwise includy to you, also enter this amount on Form 1040,
For Paperwork Recuction Act Nokice, see page E-7 of the instructions.

 Schedule C or C-EZ (see page E-3). If you are an individual, report farm rental income or loss from Form 4835 on page 2, line 40.



## income:

3 Rents receives.
4 Boyahter received

## Expenses:

5 Advertising.
6 Auto and travel (see page E-4)
7 Cleaning and maintenance.
8 Commissions
9 Insurance
10 Legal and other professional fees
11 Management fees.
12 Mortgage interest paid to banks, etc. (see page E-4)
13 Other interest
14 Repairs
15 Supplies.
16 Taxes.
17 Utilities
18 Other (list)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(10) del lion 6 lbroumh 14
 (bit pate (\%)

Se promo of (tones) from tenth but state of royally properlos, Subtract line al from lino 3 (rents) of lina (royalties). It tho ma tl is a (loss), see page E-5 to find out if you must file Form 6198
23 Deductible rental real estate loss. Caution. Your rental real estate loss on line 22 may be limited. See page $E-5$ to find out if you must file Form 8582. Real estate professionals must complete line 43 on page 2


24 Income. Add positive amounts shown on line 22. Do not include any losses .
25 Losses. Add royalty losses from line 22 and rental real estate losses from line 23. Enter total losses here.
26 Total rental real estate and royally income or (loss). Combine lines 24 and 25. Enter the result here. If Parts II, III, IV, and line 40 on page 2 do not apply to you, also enter this amount on Form 1040, line 17, or Form 1040NR, line 18. Otherwise, include this amount in the total on line 41 on page 2

Totals

Supplemental Income and Loss
(From rental real estate, royalties, partherships, S corporations, estates, trusts, REMICs, etc.)

| 1 | List the type and address of each rental real estate property: |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | H6S. Thin Hue, $x$, het 84103 |
| 8 |  |
| 0 |  | RID Ancome or Loss From Rental Real Estate and Royalties Note. If you are in the business of renting personal property, use Schedule C or C-EZ (see page E-3). If you are an individual, report farm rental income or loss from Form 4835 on page 2, line 40.

- 14 days or (See page E-3)

Attachment
Sequence No. 13

2 For each rental real estate property listed on line 1, did you or your family use it during the tax year for personal purposes for more than the greater of:

- $10 \%$ of the total days rented at fair rental'value?




## Supplemental Incone and Loss

(From rental real estate, royalties, partnerships,
S corporations, estates, trusts, REMICs, etc.)
ONB No. 1545-0074
$D$ Aitach to Form 1040, 1040NA, or Form 1041. ©See Insivuctions for Sotiedule E (Form 1040).
\&
Aliachment
Department of the Treasury
Sequence No. 13


Soheriule C or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{EZ}$ (see page E-3). If you are an individual, report farm rental income or loss from form 4835 on page 2, line 40.



P Atach to Form 1040, 1040NR, or Form 1041.
Depariment of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service (99)

- Information about Schedule E and its separate instructions is at wwwirs.gov/form1040

Name(s) shown on return
Your social securíy number
JACQUELINE WITTMEYER and CHRISTOPHER HILL
Dish Income or Loss From Rental Real Estate and Royalties Note. If you are in the business of renting personal property, use Schedule C or C-EZ (see instructions). If you are an individual, repor farm rental income or loss from Form 4835 on page 2, line 40.
A Did you make any payments in 2012 that would require you to file Form(s) 1099 ? (see instructions) B If "Yes," did you or will you flle required Forms 1099?
 1 Ia Physical address of each property (street, cily, stiate, ZIP code)
A 465 E 3RD AVE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103

| $B$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C$ |  |
| $1 b$ | Type of Property <br> (from lisi below) |
| $A$ | 1 |
| $B$ |  |
| $C$ |  |

2 For each rental real estate properiy listed above, report the number of fair rental and personal use days. Check the QJV box only if you meet the requirements to file as a qualified joint venture. See instructions.

|  | Fair Rental Days |
| :---: | :--- |
| A |  |
| B |  |
| C |  |


| Personal Use <br> Days | QJV |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Type of Property:
1 Single Family Residence
2 Multi-Family Residence

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
3 & \text { Vacation/Short-Term Rental } & 5 \text { Land } \\
4 \text { Commercial } & 6 \text { Royalties }
\end{array}
$$

7 Self-Rental
8 Other (describe)
Income:
3 Rents received . . Properties:

Attachment B
Board of Adjustment Findings \& Order
September 29, 1980

$$
\text { October 14, } 1980
$$

```
W% Jogeph V. Deckgs
465 Thtivd Evenue
Salts Lake OjGy, Utah $4103
Dear Mis: Decker:
mnchosed ane the Tindmgs and Order m Cace ko. 8449 before
the Board of Adjuatment.
please note that satd omder is to exptre str monthe fron the
datzug of thts ordex, ma algo the provisione by which yous
vaxibuec vas greatced.
Stnomgey,
BOARD OT ADJUSTMEMS
    Aamate (tuiotcavoba)
Comnte Chmsstidensen
Acting Secretaty
enc.
```

EERORE THE BOAO OF NOJUSTMENT, SALT LAKE OIT UTAH

FIWDINES AND ORDEP: OASE MO. 8499
REPORT OF THE COMDSSION:
Thls is an appeal by Joseph W. Docker from the refusal of the Bullding Inspector of batt Lako Otty, Utah, to issue a pormit to construct a twomtory dotached garage at 465 Third Avenue, contrary to the provistons of the Zoning Ordinance in a Residential "R-24 District.

Joseph W. Docker and Paul moore were present at the mesting. Fir. Barney oxplalned that the lot is $491 / 2$ vide and goes back on one side 1651 and la0ig' on the other side. The proposel is for a two-story garage which is contraty to the Zoning grdinanoe. A detached structure is only allowed to be one story whth 10 , to the top of the doormy the height (14') is measured trom the midpoint of the gable. Wr. Decker sald whet makes thls exceed tho height roquirement is the steep gable it is in spitit with the carrlage house that used to be there. This wll not be used as living quarters. The plans called for a fixed stalmay but they could put a pull-down ono. There are no ulndows. There are $2^{\prime}$ by 41 ties every 1 an $^{\prime}$ and they could pull those down to the at helght level in the attlo to make it conpletery unusable. The usabla space whore it $156^{\prime}$ is only 41 wide. The height is merely from the steepness of the pitch. There will be a werk space for storing tooks and a work bench in the garage. Wh. Wilcox asked why they chose this plan. Mr. Decker wald they moved into this house in February. They sent back to New England for a carrlage house dasign bew cause he neard the property had a oarriage house or gitable on tt. He did researeh and found that was true. The Historlcal Eandmork Commthee has approved thas. He wants sonething that wll give him storage and be in keoping with the house. The Board asked if he would need olectrictity fol the work space. W. Decker sald all he needs is a 110 . wio boore said the area will be about $8^{\prime}$ by $20^{\prime}$ and part $i s$ taken up by a pull-down stalrway. m. Decker said the mants to store bis guns and mie's antiques and the basement does mot have roon for storage. The Board asked how this fit in Wth the Unitorm Bullding Code wis. Welson sald they itt in. if tho petitlonor does not put in a whodow and makes it so it cannot be used for Ilving quarters then it is airlght for inoldontal home storage bir Doeker soid there would not be any plumbing. There were no protests. Later in the meeting the verlous aspects of tho cese were revlewod. The Board noted that the petitioner will change the possibllity of this belng construeted as a thomatory garage for Ilving quarters. It will be modifiod so it is not two storles. There will be no spacial wirlng or plumblag.

Fron the ovidence betore li, the Board is of the op inion that the petiFloner would guffer an unnecessory hardshlp from a dental of the varlance; that the spiftit and intent of the Zoning ordianee will be upheld and substamtal justlce done in the granting of the varlance.

IT 15 THEREFORE ORDERED that the var lance be granted for a garage which oxceeds the height limitation because of the stegpness ot the pltoh provldod the following provisions ane mot:

Is the roof tios be lowerod in the attlo so it comot be used as two stomies
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2. There be pullodow stairway tother than a permanent one
3. There be no special plumbing or wilting.
a. there never be any commercial use.

Provided these restrictions ats complied with, the decision of the Bull ding Inspector is reversed and st id offlon directed to Issue the required perm muts in accordance with the order and decision of the Board provided the construction mans show Gontomaty to the requirements of the Uniform Building Code and all other City otdinences appllable thereto: and prom voided such reduction or addition does not conflate wi th any private covenets or easements which may be attached to or apply to the property. all conditions of the Bond to be fully complied with before the Bull ding Inspector can give a eertifleate ot occupancy or final inspection, sold order to expire wt hin sty months from the dating of this order. This var lance explores if work has not been stat tod within six months.

THE FAURE OF THE APPLICANT TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS OF TH S VARIANCE SHALI CAUSE If TO EECOHE HUL A MO VOID, WHICH IG EFFECT IS THE SAME AS THE VARIANCE HAVING DEED DENIED.

Action taken by the Beard of Adjustment at its meeting held monday, September 29, 1980.

Dated at Salt Lake City, Una, this Ah day or October, Iso.

$\frac{\operatorname{Actac}(\operatorname{cec} t \tan \operatorname{sectetary}}{\text { Acting }}$

## Attachment C

Public Input

Lew, Janice

| From: | Anne Albaugh [industrialservices@xmission.com] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, October 11, 2013 4:27 PM |
| To: | Lew, Janice |
| Subject: | 465 3rd AVenue |

Hi Janice... I object to adding rental units in our neighborhood. The home at the front of the property owned by Jacqueline Wittmeyer has already been transformed from a family owned and occupied home into a rental house...with poor results. Now..they want the rental unit (which is rented out now and has been rented for years) to be a legal unit. I think this is a mistake. I vote NO.
Thanks,
Anne Albaugh
453 3rd Avenue
Salt Lake City, UT 84103
(801) 485-5251

## Attachment D

Photograph


